

# Do we discount money and the environment differently? Evidence from stated willingness to pay data

Malmsten Workshop 22-23 Jan

**Mitesh Kataria**

Joint work with Fredrik Carlsson, and  
Elina Lampi

**University of Gothenburg**



Funded by  
the European Union



# Starting point

---



Discounting is necessary when cost today offers benefits in the future, and how we discount have a huge impact on policy.

We estimate :

- discount factors for future monetary rewards (using the same time frames) common in experimental literature
- ‘*domain-specific*’ discount factors (and rates) for future environmental benefits

**Compare** and assess if discount rates inferred from monetary choices can be applied to environmental goods—external validity.



## Motivation: Policy Guidance

---



**White House Social discount rate recommendation (2024):**  
2% (not domain-specific)

**European Commission (period 2014-2020) Social discount rate recommendation:**

5 % is used for major projects in Cohesion countries, and  
3 % for the other Member States  
(not domain-specific)

## Motivation: Literature

---



Kolstad (Environmental Economics):

*“But there are many social investments in addition to environmental protection that may be considered worthy – education, poverty alleviation, or disease eradication, just to name a few. **One should be consistent in the choice of a discount rate across the many actions that have intergenerational implications.**”*



## Motivation: Literature

---



Ecosystem scarcity motivates lower discount rates in environmental CBA  
(Sterner and Persson (2008), Drupp et. al. (2024), Krutilla-Fisher)

Some empirical evidence suggests that discount rates are domain-specific,  
e.g.:

- higher discount rates in health decisions than in monetary choices

Relative few papers, however, have compared the two.

## Empirical strategy

---



Use a contingent-valuation survey (with hypothetical scenarios) to elicit todays WTP for improving future biodiversity.

Three scenarios: Benefits utilized

- After 18 years
- After 10 years
- After 2 years

From WTP data, estimate discount factors for future environmental benefits

# A brief background on the environmental good and the Survey



Funded by  
the European Union

# Eelgrass in Malmö harbour

---

- **Hundred years ago:** Shallow coastal bay with eelgrass and high fish abundance
- Today: From a biologically productive eelgrass bay to an industrial harbour with polluted, lifeless sediments
- We lost biodiversity



# Main questions

Scenario	Intervention	Time to successful restoration
1	Rewilding (natural recovery after restoring the seabed)	18 years
2	Planting seeds	10 years
4	Planting seedlings	2 years

Ask for WTP for each of these scenarios.

Sample:

N=1850 responses from Skåne

Internet panel, representative wrt age, education, gender.



# Estimating discount factors from WTP data



Funded by  
the European Union

# Discounting models



- If the discount factor is known, the present value of a future stream of known benefits can be calculated directly.
- If we know the present value of the future stream of benefits, and future stream of benefits, we can estimate the discount factor
- The future stream of benefits are unknown, **but we solve for the discount factor by observing the ratio of present value of the future stream of benefits, at two different point of time.**

Illustration with single future benefit

$$\frac{WTP(B_{18})}{WTP(B_{10})} = \frac{\frac{\delta^{18} W(B_{18})}{\lambda}}{\frac{\delta^{10} W(B_{10})}{\lambda}} = \frac{\frac{1}{(1+r)^{18}} W(B_{18})}{\frac{1}{(1+r)^{10}} \frac{W(B_{10})}{\lambda}} = 1/(1+r)^8$$



# Discounting models

---



Qualitative predictions for the **exponential** and the **hyperbolic model**

**Exponential Model:** Constant discount rate (and factor) between different time periods

**Hyperbolic Model:** Reduction over time in discount rate (increase over time in discount factor)



# Results and Conclusions



Funded by  
the European Union

# Raw results annual discount factor (exp disc)



	Environment		Money	
	2 – 10 years	10 – 18 years	2 – 10 years	10 – 18 years
Full sample				
<b>Mean (s.d.)</b>	<b>0.949</b> (0.109)	<b>0.884</b> (0.172)	<b>0.927</b> (0.183)	<b>0.916</b> (0.207)
<b>Exp. r:</b>	r=0,05	r=0,13	r=0,08	r=0,09
<b>Median</b>	0.965	0.917	0.977	0.977
<b>N</b>	1600	1582	1600	1582

Higher discount rate in future periods:  
**Neither consistent with exponential nor hyperbolig discounting**

Constant discount rate across time periods:  
**Consistent with exponential discounting**





- People discount money and environment differently
- In particular:
  - Money discounting: consistent with exponential
  - Env discounting: neither consistent with exponential nor hyperbolic discounting
    - People are more impatient with the environment for the more distant time-frame.
- We have explored individual differences, and have a hard time explaining the individual variation in discount factors

# Thank You!

Mitesh Kataria

[mitesh.kataria@gu.se](mailto:mitesh.kataria@gu.se)



## Let's Get in Touch!

website

[biofin-project.eu](http://biofin-project.eu)

e-mail

[info@biofin-project.eu](mailto:info@biofin-project.eu)  
social media

    



UNIVERSIDADE

