

VALU 2010 - SVT exit poll survey parliamentary election 2010

Näsman, Per

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research

Holmberg, Sören

University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science

Wännström, Kent

Sveriges Television

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service	
Production Date September 5, 2014		
Identification	SND0885-001	

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage	5
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility.	
File Description(s).	
VALU 2010.	
Variable Group(s)	
Studieinfo	
Vallokalundersökningen	
Variables Description.	
<u>VALU 2010</u>	

VALU 2010 - SVT exit poll survey parliamentary election 2010(VALU10)

VALU 2010 - SVT:s vallokalsundersökning riksdagsvalet 2010

Overview			
Туре	SVT exit poll surveys		
Identification	SND0885-001		
Version	Production Date: 2014-09-05 2.0		
Series	In Valu - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. VALU has been carried out by the public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) in connection with all national elections since 1991; parliamentary elections, referendums, and elections to the European Parliament. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out by SVT in collaboration with Stockholm University and University of Gothenburg. Since 1994, SVT has carried out the studies in collaboration with University of Gothenburg and the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm (KTH). SVT's main aim with VALU is to obtain an analytical basis for SVT's election night broadcast and for SVT's and other professional analysts' post-election analyses. Another aim is to be able to forecast the result of the election at an early stage during election night. VALU is carried out in geographical regions centred around the largest university cities. A university lecturer is appointed in each of the regions as regional survey leader. Together, these survey leaders are responsible for the field workers who carry out the survey where voting takes place before election day and outside polling stations during election day. Survey leaders and field workers are recruited from departments of statistics at the respective university. Thus, all survey leaders and field workers are familiar with statistical methods.		

Abstract

This exit poll survey was carried out in connection with the parliamentary election on September 19, 2010. In VALU - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out at the parliamentary election in 1991. Since then similar studies have been carried out in connection with all Parliamentary elections, referendums and elections to the European Parliament. Voters leaving the polling stations were asked to fill in a questionnaire including questions on: party voted for in the general and local elections; time for decision how to vote; own political opinion in agreement with the opinion of political party voted for; preferred formation of the government after the election; party voted for in the general election 2006; opinion on the Swedish EU membership; placement on a political left-right scale; confidence in Swedish politicians; gender, age, occupational group, union membership and church attendance. The respondents also had to indicate how important a number of items were for the decision how to vote, as well as the importance of the party leader.

Kind of Data	Voting data: Exit polls	
Unit of Analysis Individual		

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	trust, local government elections, trade union membership, party identification, political leaders, political participation, political party, government, religious attendance, parliamenta elections, voting, elections, election data, electoral issues, exit polls, voting behaviour	
Topics	mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion, elections	
Time Period(s)	2010	
Countries	Sweden	
<u>Universe</u> Swedish electorate		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Näsman, Per, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research Holmberg, Sören, University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science Wännström, Kent, Sveriges Television	
Other Producer(s)	Sveriges Television	

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

In VALU a selection is made of a number of electoral districts and in this way their respective polling station. The number of electoral districts to be selected for VALU is allocated among the different regions according to the size of the electorate. Within each region, the electoral districts are then selected to be included in VALU. This selection is based on the size and geographical location of the electoral district.

Data Collection		
Data Collection start 2010-09-06 Dates end 2010-09-19		
Time Period(s)		
Data Collection Mode	Fixed form self-administred questionnaire: paper	

Data Collection Notes

Andelen som vägrade fylla i enkäten vid de förtida röstningslokalerna var cirka 30%. Siffran baserar sig helt på bedömningar av fältombuden då ingen kontroll av antalet vägrare eller kartläggning av deras bakgrundsvariabler eller motiv för vägran gjordes. Spontant uppgav huvuddelen av vägrarna tidsbriust som motivet för vägran. Andelen vägrare under valdagen bedöms av fältombuden som genomgående lägre än vid de förtida röstningsställena.

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Swedish National Data Service

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

VALU 2010	
Cases 11889	
Variable(s)	60

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 2 group(s)

Studieinfo			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND study 0885	-
2	SND_dataset	SND dataset 0885-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 2.0	-
4	ort	Central location	-
5	typ	Vote in advance or vote at poll station	-

#	Name	Label	Question
1	f1	Q.1 Party voted for in the 2010 parliamentary election	What party did you vote for today in the parliamentary election?
2	f2	Q.2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election	What party did you vote for today in the local government election?
3	f3	Q.3 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the parliament election	Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the parliament election?
4	f4	Q.4 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the local government election	Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the local government election?
5	f5	Q.5 Time for party choice	When did you decide how to vote in the parliamentary election this year?
6	f6	Q.6 Party voted for in the 2006 Parliamentary election	What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary election in 2006?
7	f7	Q.7 Trust in Swedish politicians	Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians?
8	f81	Q.8_1 Parties forming the government: Moderate Party	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Moderate Party
9	f82	Q.8_2 Parties forming the government: Centre Party	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Centre Party
10	f83	Q.8_3 Parties forming the government: Liberal Party	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Liberal Party
11	f84	Q.8_4 Parties forming the government: Christian Democrats	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Christian Democrats
12	f85	Q.8_5 Parties forming the government: Social Democrats	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Social Democrats
13	f86	Q.8_6 Parties forming the government: Left Party	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Left Party
14	f87	Q.8_7 Parties forming the government: Green Party	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Green Party
15	f88	Q.8_8 Parties forming the government: Sweden Democrats	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Sweden Democrats
16	f89	Q.8_9 Parties forming the government: No opinion	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - No opinion
17	f9	Q.9 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale	Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?

#	Name	Label	Question
18	f10	Q.10 Gender	Are you a woman or a man?
19	f11	Q.11 Year of birth	What year were you born in?
20	f12	Q.12 Area/country of upbringing: Yourself	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Yourself
21	f13	Q.13 Area/country of upbringing: Your father	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Father
22	f14	Q.14 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Mother
23	f15	Q.15 Church/communion attendance	How often do you usually attend a service or a meeting in a church/communion?
24	f16	Q.16 Labour market situation	Which of these groups do you belong to?
25	f17	Q.17 Current or previous occupational group	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
26	f18	Q.18 Employment sector	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
27	f19	Q.19 Union membership	Are you a member of a trade union?
28	f20	Q.20 Importance for choice of party: Party leader	What importance had the party leader for your choice of party today?
29	f21	Q.21 Important issue for choice of party: Taxes	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Taxes
30	f22	Q.22 Important issue for choice of party: Environment	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Environment
31	f23	Q.23 Important issue for choice of party: Employment	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Employment
32	f24	Q.24 Important issue for choice of party: Swedish economy	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish economy
33	f25	Q.25 Important issue for choice of party: Your own private economy	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Your own private economy
34	f26	Q.26 Important issue for choice of party: Swedish foreign and security policy	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish foreign and security policy
35	f27	Q.27 Important issue for choice of party: Law and order	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Law and order
36	f28	Q.28 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Refugees/immigration
37	f29	Q.29 Important issue for choice of party: EU/euro	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - EU/euro
38	f30	Q.30 Important issue for choice of party: Health care	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Health care
39	f31	Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: Equality between women and men	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Equality between women and men
40	f32	Q.32 Important issue for choice of party: Schools and education	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Schools and education
41	f33	Q.33 Important issue for choice of party: Conditions for business	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Conditions for business

#	Name	Label	Question
42	f34	Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Energy and nuclear power	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Energy and nuclear power
43	f35	Q.35 Important issue for choice of party: Elderly care	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Elderly care
44	f36	Q.36 Important issue for choice of party: Child care	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Child care
45	f37	Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Social welfare
46	f38	Q.38 Important issue for choice of party: Pensions	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Pensions
47	f39	Q.39 Important issue for choice of party: The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan
48	f40	Q.40 Political bloc with best politics: Swedish economy	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on the Swedish economy?
49	f41	Q.41 Political bloc with best politics: Employment	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on employment?
50	f42	Q.42 Political bloc with best politics: Environment	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on environment?
51	f43	Q.43 Political bloc with best politics: Health care	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on health care?
52	f44	Q.44 Political bloc with best politics: Social welfare	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on social welfare?
53	f45	Q.45 Political bloc with best politics: Schools and education	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on schools and education?
54	f46	Q.46 Political bloc with best politics: Taxes	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on taxes?
55	F47	Q.47 Political bloc with best politics: Pensions	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on pensions?

Variables Description

Dataset contains 60 variable(s)

File: V	File: VALU 2010					
# SND_st	# SND_studie: SND study 0885					
		SND study 0885: VALU 2010 - SVT exit poll surve	ey parliam	entary election 2010		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
885	SND 0885		11889		100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 885-88	5] [Missin	g: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# SND_dataset: SND dataset 0885-001					
	SND-dataset 0885-001: VALU 2010 - SVT:s vallokalundersökning riksdagsvalet 2010				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	SND 0885-	001	11889	100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Ra			:*]	
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

#SND_version: SND version 2.0				
SND version 1.1, juli 2014				
Value	Label Cases Percentage			Percentage
2	SND 2.0	1	11889	100.0%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sun	mmary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# ort: Central location					
		Central location			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Stockholm		4146	34.9%	
2	Lund		2380	20.0%	
3	Göteborg		3060	25.7%	
4	Sundsvall		2303	19.4%	
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics	s of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# typ: Vote in advance or vote at poll station					
Vote in advance or vote at poll station					
Value	Label	abel		Percentage	
1	Vote in adv	vance	3986	33.5%	
2 Vote at poll station			7903	66.5%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

File: VALU 2010			
# typ: Vote in advance	# typ: Vote in advance or vote at poll station		
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

Pre-question		At Sveriges Television, we want the election night broadcast on TV to reflect the opinions of the voters. We are therefore asking you to answer this questionnaire by crossing the appropriate boxes. The results will only be reported in figures. If you find it difficult to answer any question, leave it and go on to the next question.				
Literal que	stion	What party did you vote for today i	in the parliamentary election	on?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	e	
1	Moderate l	Party	3255		28.0%	
2	Centre Par	ty	815	7.0%		
3	Liberal Par	ty	902	7.8%		
4	Christian I	Democrats	624	5.4%		
5	Social Der	nocrats	3192		27.5%	
6	Left Party		864	7.4%		
7	Green Part	у	1174	10.1%		
81	Sweden Do	emocrats	458	3.9%		
82	Feminist In	nitiative	88	0.8%		
83	Pirate Part	y	85	0.7%		
84	Other party	<i>y</i>	26	0.2%		
85	Blank		80	0.7%		
86	Did not vo	te	8	0.1%		
87	Not entitle	d to vote	52	0.4%		
999	99 NA		266			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They can	not be interpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rat		[Range: 1- 87] [Missing: *	*/999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11623 /-] [Invalid: 266 /-]				

# f2: Q.2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election							
Literal question Wi		What party did you vote for today	What party did you vote for today in the local government election?				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Moderate I	Party	2634		23.4%		
2	Centre Par	ty	915	8.1%			
3	Liberal Par	rty	1091	9.7%			
4	Christian D	Democrats	457	4.1%			
5	Social Den	nocrats	3349		29.7%		
6	Left Party		802	7.1%			
7	Green Part	у	1097	9.7%			
81	Sweden De	emocrats	406	3.6%			
82	Feminist Ir	nitiative	69	0.6%			
83	Pirate Part	y	42	0.4%			
84	Other party	<i>y</i>	274	2.4%			
85	Blank		89	0.8%			

File: VALU 2010					
#f2: Q.2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
86	Did not vot	e	45	0.4%	
999	NA		619		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-86]	[Missing: *	⁵ /999]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 112		[Valid: 11270 /-] [Invalid: 619 /-]			

#f3: Q.3 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the parliament election						
Literal question		Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by I	narking a c	candidate on your ballot in	the parliament elec	ction?
Value Label			Cases Per		centage	
1	Yes		3937		35.0%	
2	No		7298			65.0%
999	NA		654			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2]			Missing: */9	999]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid: 11235 /-] [Invalid: 654 /-]				

# f4: Q.4 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the local government election					
_		Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the local government election?			
Value	Label	Label Cases Percentage			
1	Yes		4402	38.8%	
2	No		6951		61.2%
999	NA		536		
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2] [N	Aissing: */	999]	
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 11353 /-] [Invalid: 536 /-]			

# f5: Q.5 Time for party choice						
Literal question When did you decide how		When did you decide how to vote in the parliar	mentary election this	s year?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Today		1344	11.5%		
2	During the	During the past week		18.3%		
3	Earlier duri	ing the election campaign	2467	21.0%		
4	Have know	on for a long time how I was going to vote	5767		49.2%	
999	NA		167			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary statistics of	f the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	4] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11722 /-] [Invalid: 167 /-]						

File: VALU 2010							
# f6: Q.6 Party voted for in the 2006 Parliamentary election							
Literal que	stion	What party did you vote for in	the last parliamentary election in	n 2006?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Moderate I	Party	3082		26.5%		
2	Centre Par	ty	655	5.6%			
3	Liberal Par	ty	877	7.6%			
4	Christian I	Democrats	483	4.2%			
5	Social Den	nocrats	3029		26.1%		
6	Left Party		725	6.2%			
7	Green Part	у	853	7.3%			
81	Sweden De	emocrats	207	1.8%			
82	Other party	1	192	1.7%			
83	Blank		169	1.5%			
84	Did not vo	te	394	3.4%			
85	Not entitle	d to vote 2006	944	8.1%			
999 NA		279					
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. The	y cannot be interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: nume	eric] [Range: 1- 85] [Missing: */	999]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 11610 /-] [Invalid: 279	/-]				

# f7: Q.7]	# f7: Q.7 Trust in Swedish politicians						
Literal ques	tion	Generally speaking, how much trust do you have	ve in Swedish po	oliticians?			
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Very high	Very high		10.7%			
2	Quite high	Quite high			59.5%		
3	Quite low	Quite low		24.2%			
4	Very low		649	5.6%			
999	NA		215				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4		4] [Missing: */99	99]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11674 /-] [Invalid: 215 /-]							

# f81: Q.8_1 Parties forming the government: Moderate Party							
Literal question		Which party or parties would you prefer to form t	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Moderate Party				
Value Label			Cases Percentag				
0	Not marked	1	6302	53.6%			
1	Moderate F	Party	5461	46.4%			
Sysmiss			126				
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	tics of the population of interest.			
Information [Typ		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]					

File: VALU 2010						
# f82: Q.8_2 Parties forming the government: Centre Party						
Literal questi	ion	Which party or parties would you prefer to form	the government a	fter the election today? - Centre Party		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	Not marked	1	8151	69.3%		
1	Centre Part	у	3612	30.7%		
Sysmiss			126			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]			·			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]						

# f83: Q.8_3 Parties forming the government: Liberal Party						
Literal question		Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Liberal Party				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
0	Not marked	i	7560	64.3%		
1	Liberal Par	ty	4203	35.7%		
Sysmiss			126			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statist	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]				

Literal ques	tion	Which party or parties would you prefer to form	the government afte	r the election today? - Christian	Democrats
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
0	Not marked	1	8873		75.4%
1	Christian D	emocrats	2890	24.6%	
Sysmiss			126		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics of th	ne population of interest.	
Information [Type: disc		Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11763 /-		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]			

# f85: Q.8_5 Parties forming the government: Social Democrats						
Literal question Whi		Which party or parties would you prefer to form the	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Social Democrats			
Value	Value Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	Not marked	i	6925	58.9%		
1	Social Den	nocrats	4838	41.1%		
Sysmiss			126			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information [7		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]				

File: VALU 2010						
# f86: Q.8_6 Parties forming the government: Left Party						
Literal questi	ion	Which party or parties would you prefer to f	orm the government afte	r the election today? - Left Par	rty	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	Not marked	i	9022		76.7%	
1	Left Party		2741	23.3%		
Sysmiss			126			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]						
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]						

# f87: Q.8_7 Parties forming the government: Green Party						
Literal question		Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Green Party				
Value Label Cases		Per	centage			
0	Not marked	d	7513	63.9%		63.9%
1	Green Part	y	4250		36.1%	
Sysmiss			126			
Warning: these figur	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: r		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [1	Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]				

Literal question W		Which party or parties would you prefer to form	Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Sweden Democrats				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage			
0	Not marked	I	11038		93.8%		
1	Sweden De	mocrats	725	6.2%			
Sysmiss			126				
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 1] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]					

# f89: Q.8_9 Parties forming the government: No opinion							
Literal question Which part		Which party or parties would you prefer to form th	hich party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - No opinion				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
0	Not marked	i	11594	98.6%			
1	No opinion		169	1.4%			
Sysmiss			126				
Warning: these figu	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [F		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [J	Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Va		[Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-]					

File : V	File: VALU 2010						
# f9: Q.9 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale							
Literal question Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?							
Value	Value Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Clearly to	the left	2147	18.8%			
2	Somewhat	to the left	2227	19.5%			
3	Neither lef	t nor right	2246	19.6%			
4	Somewhat	to the right	2922	25.6%			
5	Clearly to	the right	1894	16.6%			
999	NA		453				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11436 /-] [Invalid: 453 /-]						

# f10: Q.10 Gender						
Literal que	Are you a woman or a man?					
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Woman		5847	51.4%		
2	Man		5534	48.6%		
999	NA		508			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [For		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2] [N	Missing: */	999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid:		[Valid: 11381 /-] [Invalid: 508 /-]				

# f11: Q.11 Year of birth					
Literal ques	stion	What year were you born in?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1911	1911		4	0.0%	
1914	1914		2	0.0%	
1915	1915		1	0.0%	
1917	1917		1	0.0%	
1918	1918		6	0.1%	
1919	1919		9	0.1%	
1920	1920		5	0.0%	
1921	1921		13	0.1%	
1922	1922		10	0.1%	
1923	1923		8	0.1%	
1924	1924		22	0.2%	
1925	1925		23	0.2%	
1926	1926		23	0.2%	
1927	1927		20	0.2%	
1928	1928		26	0.2%	
1929	1929		29	0.2%	
1930	1930		38	0.3%	

File: VALU 2010

#f11: Q.11 Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1931	1931	41	0.4%
1932	1932	46	0.4%
1933	1933	54	0.5%
1934	1934	45	0.4%
1935	1935	51	0.4%
1936	1936	72	0.6%
1937	1937	80	0.7%
1938	1938	107	0.9%
1939	1939	88	0.8%
1940	1940	105	0.9%
1941	1941	127	1.1%
942	1942	174	1.5%
1943	1943	151	1.3%
944	1944	176	1.5%
1945	1945	205	1.8%
946	1946	180	1.5%
947	1947	197	1.7%
948	1948	204	1.8%
949	1949	199	1.7%
950	1950	213	1.8%
951	1951	167	1.4%
952	1952	169	1.5%
953	1953	187	1.6%
954	1954	167	1.4%
955	1955	191	1.6%
956	1956	199	1.7%
.957	1957	205	1.8%
958	1958	209	1.8%
959	1959	173	1.5%
960	1960	188	1.6%
961	1961	198	1.7%
962	1962	206	1.8%
1963	1963	197	1.7%
1964	1964	232	2.0%
965	1965	197	1.7%
966	1966	227	1.9%
967	1967	230	2.0%
968	1968	216	1.9%
969	1969	196	1.7%
1970	1970	205	1.8%
1971	1971	215	1.8%
972	1972	229	2.0%
1973	1973	235	2.0%

f11: Q.1	1 Year of l	oirth			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1974	1974		213	1.8%	
1975	1975		220	1.9%	
1976	1976		217	1.9%	
1977	1977		209	1.8%	
978	1978		203	1.7%	
1979	1979		235	2.0%	
1980	1980		228	2.0%	
1981	1981		253	2.2%	
1982	1982		200	1.7%	
1983	1983		228	2.0%	
984	1984		255	2.2%	
985	1985		225	1.9%	
986	1986		228	2.0%	
987	1987		239	2.1%	
.988	1988		247	2.1%	
989	1989		247	2.1%	
990	1990		220	1.9%	
1991	1991		220	1.9%	
1992	1992		171	1.5%	
99	NA		238		
arning: these	figures indicate th	e number of cases found in the data file. T	hey cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the po	opulation of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1911- 1992] [Missing: */999]					
tatistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11651 /-] [Invalid: 238 /-]			29 / 1		

Literal que	stion	Where did you, your father and your moth	her for the most part l	live as a child? -	Yourself	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Rural area	in Sweden	2564			22.3%
2	Village in	Sweden	3512			30.5%
3	City/town	n Sweden	2672			23.2%
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	2044		17.8%	5
5	Other Nord	lic country	158	1.4%		
6	Other Euro	pean country	251	2.2%		
7	Non-Europ	ean country	314	2.7%		
999	NA		374			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statis	stics of the populatio	n of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		e: 1- 7] [Missing: */9	999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11515 /-] [Invalid: 374 /-]				

# f13: Q.13 Area/country of upbringing: Your father			
Literal question	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Father		

File: VALU 2010 #f13: Q.13 Area/country of upbringing: Your father						
Value	Label		Cases	Perc	entage	
1	Rural area	in Sweden	3469		30.8%	
2	Village in	Sweden	2975	2		
3	City/town in Sweden		2012	17.9%		
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	1526	13.6%	6	
5	Other Nord	lic country	339	3.0%		
6	Other Euro	pean country	453	4.0%		
7	Non-Europ	ean country	486	4.3%		
999	NA		629			
Warning: these	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	- 7] [Missing: */99	99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11260 /-] [Invalid: 629 /-]				

# f14: Q.1	#f14: Q.14 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother						
Literal ques	Literal question Where did you, your father and your mother for			e as a child? - Mothe	er		
Value	Label	Label		I	Percentage		
1	Rural area	Rural area in Sweden				29.8%	
2	Village in S	Village in Sweden				26.0%	
3	City/town i	City/town in Sweden			18.9%		
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	1551		13.7%		
5	Other Nord	lic country	419	3.7%			
6	Other Euro	pean country	433	3.8%			
7	Non-Europ	ean country	446	3.9%			
999	NA		595				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-)]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11294 /-] [Invalid: 595 /-]						

Literal question How often		How often do you usually attend a service or	a meeting in a chur	rch/communion?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	e	
1	At least on	ce a month	822	7.0%		
2	A couple o	f times a year	1923	16.4%		
3	Less freque	ently	3699		31.5%	
4	Never		5281		45.0%	
999	NA		164			
Warning: these	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistic	cs of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 11725 /-] [Invalid: 164 /-]				

File: VALU 2010						
# f16: Q.16 Labour market situation						
Literal question Which of these groups do you belong to?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Gainfully 6	employed (including on sick leave, parental leave)	6376		56.6%	
2	Participating in labour market policy measures		1019	9.0%		
3	Unemploy	ed	477	4.2%		
4	Old age/ea	rly retirement contractual pensioner	1771	15.7%		
5	Have sickr	ness/activity compensation	320	2.8%		
6	Homework	er	67	0.6%		
7	Student		1231	10.9%		
999	NA		628			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: */	/999]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11261 /-] [Invalid: 628 /-]					

# f17 : Q.1	# f17: Q.17 Current or previous occupational group					
Literal que	Literal question Which of these occupational groups do you/			?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	White-coll	lar worker	3798		34.1%	
2	White-coll	lar worker with supervisory status	1304	11.7%		
3	White-coll	lar worker in a senior leadership position	550	4.9%		
4	Blue colla	r worker	3368		30.3%	
5	Blue colla	Blue collar worker with supervisory status		4.4%		
6	Farmer: no	Farmer: no employee		0.7%		
7	Farmer: or	ne or more employees	35	0.3%		
81	Self-emplo	oyed: no employee	436	3.9%		
82	Self-emplo	oyed: 1-9 employees	336	3.0%		
83	Self-emplo	oyed: 10 or more employees	132	1.2%		
84	Never had	paid work	599	5.4%		
999	NA		764			
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	reted as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 84] [Missing: *	*/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11125 /-		[Valid: 11125 /-] [Invalid: 764 /-]				

Literal question Are/were you employed by the state, local			ate, local government or a private	vate employer?	
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	State		1436	13.0%	
2	Local/regio	onal government	3037	27.5%	
3	Private		5936		53.8%
4	Never had	paid work	622	5.6%	
999	NA		858		

File: VALU 20	File: VALU 2010				
# f18: Q.18 Employme	# f18: Q.18 Employment sector				
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 11031 /-] [Invalid: 858 /-]				

Literal question		Are you a member of a trade union?			
Value	alue Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes, a LO union		2390	21.7%	
2	Yes, a TCC	Yes, a TCO union		15.5%	
3	Yes, a SAG	CO union	1601	14.5%	
4	No		5306		48.2%
999	NA		884		
Warning: these	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary statistics of t	he population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	4] [Missing: */999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11005 /-] [Invalid: 884 /-]					

# f20: Q.20 Importance for choice of party: Party leader						
Literal ques	tion	What importance had the party leader for yo	ur choice of party toda	ny?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great		3079	27.0%		
2	Fairly great	t	3929		34.4%	
3	Neither gre	Neither great nor small			21.9%	
4	Fairly smal	1	1033	9.1%		
5	Very small		864	7.6%		
999	NA		483			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of	of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 11406 /-] [Invalid: 483 /-]					

# f21: Q.2	21 Important	issue for choice of party: Taxo	es				
Literal que	stion	How important were the following issu	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Taxes				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very great	importance	3619		33.7%		
2	Fairly grea	timportance	4172		38.9%		
3	Neither gre	at nor small importance	1990		18.5%		
4	Fairly small	l importance	664	6.2%			
5	Very small	importance	284	2.6%			
999	NA		1160				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statisti	ics of the population of in	terest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang			99]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10729 /-] [Invalid: 1160 /-]						

File: VALU 2010								
#f22: Q.22 Important issue for choice of party: Environment								
Literal ques	Literal question How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Environment							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Very great	importance	3656			34.3%		
2	Fairly grea	at importance	4360			40.9%		
3	Neither gr	eat nor small importance	1910		17.9%			
4	Fairly sma	ll importance	473	4.4%				
5	Very smal	l importance	267	2.5%				
999	NA		1223					
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population	of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]								
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10666 /-] [Invalid: 1223 /-]								

Literal question		How important were the following issue	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Employment				
Value	Label		Cases	S Percentage			
1	Very great	importance	5596			52.9%	
2	Fairly great importance		3764		35.6%		
3	Neither great nor small importance		969	9.2%			
4	Fairly sma	Il importance	147	1.4%			
5	Very smal	importance	109	1.0%			
999	NA		1304				
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary stat	istics of the population of inte	rest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-			nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */	999]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10585 /-] [Invalid: 1304 /-]							

# f24: Q.24	Important	issue for choice of party: Swedish eco	nomy			
Literal question	on	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish economy				
Value Label			Cases	Pero	centage	
1	Very great	importance	5576		52.9%	
2	Fairly great	importance	3575		33.9%	
3	Neither gre	at nor small importance	1121	10.6%		
4	Fairly smal	l importance	172	1.6%		
5	Very small	importance	106	1.0%		
999	NA		1339			
Warning: these figu	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:			/999]		
Statistics [NW	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10550 /-] [Invalid: 1339 /-]					

# f25: Q.25 Important issue for choice of party: Your own private economy				
Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Your own private economy			

File: VALU 2010

f25: Q.25 Important issue for choice of party: Your own private economy

Value	Label		Cases	es Percentage			
1	Very great	Very great importance					40.9%
2	Fairly great importance		3355			31.9%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		1943		18.5%		
4	Fairly small importance		580	5.5%			
5	Very small	Very small importance		3.2%			
999	NA		1374				
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of in	iterest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang			999]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10515 /-] [Invalid: 1374 /-]							

f26: Q.26 Important issue for choice of party: Swedish foreign and security policy

Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish
	foreign and security policy

Value	Label		Cases	es Percentage		
1	Very great importa	ince	2023	19	9.4%	
2	Fairly great import	ance	3585	5		
3	Neither great nor small importance		3373		32.3%	
4	Fairly small importance		1015	9.7%		
5	Very small importa	ance	439	4.2%		
999 NA			1454			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]						

Information[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]Statistics [NW/ W][Valid: 10435 /-] [Invalid: 1454 /-]

f27: Q.27 Important issue for choice of party: Law and order

Literal questionHow important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Law and order

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	2
1	Very great importance	3395		32.4%
2	Fairly great importance	3686		35.2%
3	Neither great nor small importance	2406	23	.0%
4	Fairly small importance	725	6.9%	
5	Very small importance	271	2.6%	
999	NA	1406		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10483 /-1 [Invalid: 1406 /-]

#f28: Q.28 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration

	·
Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Refugees/
	immigration

File: V	File: VALU 2010					
# f28: Q.2	8 Importa	nt issue for choice of party: Re	fugees/immigration			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great	at importance	2675		25.5%	
2	Fairly gro	eat importance	3468		33.1%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		3094		29.6%	
4	Fairly sm	all importance	768	7.3%		
5	Very sma	all importance	465	4.4%		
999	NA		1419			
Warning: these	figures indicate the	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot	t be interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */9	99]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 10470 /-] [Invalid: 1419 /-]				

# f29 : Q.2	# f29: Q.29 Important issue for choice of party: EU/euro					
Literal question		How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - EU/euro				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great	importance	1617	15.7%		
2	Fairly grea	timportance	2513	24.49	б	
3	Neither gre	at nor small importance	3792		36.8%	
4	Fairly smal	l importance	1390	13.5%		
5	Very small	importance	997	9.7%		
999	NA		1580			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of	the population of interest.		
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 10309 /-] [Invalid: 1580 /-]				

Literal question		How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Health care				
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage	
1	Very great	importance	5304		49.4%	
2	Fairly grea	t importance	3657		34.0%	
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	1440	13.4%		
4	Fairly small importance		224	2.1%		
5	Very small	importance	118	1.1%		
999	NA		1146			
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.		

# f31: Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: Equality between women and men		
Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Equality between women and men	

File : V	File: VALU 2010					
# f31: Q.3	# f31: Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: Equality between women and men					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	Very great importance	3890	36.7%			
2	Fairly great importance	3253	30.7%			
3	Neither great nor small importance	2402	22.6%			
4	Fairly small importance	634	6.0%			

427 4.0%

999 NA 1283
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 10606 /-] [Invalid: 1283 /-]

[Valid: 10671 /-] [Invalid: 1218 /-]

Very small importance

Statistics [NW/ W]

Literal question		How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Schools and education					
Value	Label		Cases		Per	rcentage	
1	Very great	importance	5801				54.4%
2	Fairly grea	t importance	3430			32.1%	
3	Neither gre	at nor small importance	1101	10	.3%		
4	Fairly smal	l importance	212	2.0%			
5	Very small	importance	127	1.2%			
999	NA		1218				
Warning: these	-	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R.			lation of interest		

Literal question How important were the followard for business		How important were the following issurfor business	ues for your choice of par	rty today in the parliamen	tary election? - Conditions
Value	Label		Cases	Pero	centage
1	Very great	importance	3062		29.4%
2	Fairly grea	t importance	3474		33.4%
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	2755		26.4%
4	Fairly sma	ll importance	766	7.4%	
5	Very small	importance	359	3.4%	
999	NA		1473		

5	Very small importance		359	3.4%
999	9 NA		1473	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted		summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [I	Missing: */	/999]
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid: 1		[Valid: 10416 /-] [Invalid: 1473 /-]		

# f34: Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Energy and nuclear power				
Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Energy and nuclear power			

File: VALU 2010							
# f34: Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Energy and nuclear power							
Value Label Cases Percentage							
1	Very great	importance	3141			30.0%	
2	Fairly great	t importance	3829			36.6%	
3	Neither gre	at nor small importance	2621		25.0	0%	
4	Fairly smal	1 importance	604	5.8%			
5	Very small	importance	278	2.7%			
999	999 NA 1416						
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary state	istics of the population of i	nterest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]							

[Valid: 10473 /-] [Invalid: 1416 /-]

[Valid: 10717 /-] [Invalid: 1172 /-]

Statistics [NW/ W]

Statistics [NW/ W]

Literal que	stion	How important were the following issu	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Elderly care				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very great	importance	4309			40.2	
2	Fairly great importance		3517			32.8%	
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	2084		19.4%		
4	Fairly sma	ll importance	543	5.1%			
5	Very small	importance	264	2.5%			
999	NA		1172				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population	of interest.		
[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R			nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */9	99]			

	ion	How important were the following issues f	or your choice of par	ty today in the par	liamentary electi	ion? - Child care
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very great	importance	4058			38.2%
2	Fairly great importance		3243			30.6%
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	2297		21.6%	
4	Fairly small	Il importance	633	6.0%		
5	Very small	importance	383	3.6%		
999	NA		1275			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary statisti	cs of the population of i	nterest.	

# f37: Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare				
Literal question	How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Social welfare			

File: VALU 2010 #f37: Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare Label Cases Value Percentage Very great importance 4890 46.1% 2 34.6% Fairly great importance 3672 3 Neither great nor small importance 1621 15.3% 4 Fairly small importance 273 2.6% 5 Very small importance 152 1.4% 999 1281 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] Information Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10608 /-] [Invalid: 1281 /-]

# f38: Q.3	38 Important	issue for choice of party: Pension	ons			
Literal question		How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Pensions				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great	importance	3477	3:		
2	Fairly grea	t importance	3030		28.7%	
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	2721		25.8%	
4	Fairly sma	Il importance	840	8.0%		
5	Very small	importance	498	4.7%		
999	NA		1323			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 10566 /-] [Invalid: 1323 /-]				

Literal question		How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great	importance	1303	12.5%		
2	Fairly grea	t importance	1873	18.0%		
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	3633	34.9%		
4	Fairly smal	ll importance	1514	14.5%		
5	Very small	importance	2084	20.0%		
999	NA		1482			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran			e: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [N	NW/W]	[Valid: 10407 /-] [Invalid: 1482 /-]				

# f40: Q.40 Political bloc with best politics: Swedish economy						
Literal questi	ion	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on the Swedish economy?				
Value Label			Cases	Cases Percentage		
1	The red-gre	een Left/Soc/Green	3479		32.2%	
2	The allianc	The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod				56.4%
3	No opinion		1230	11.4%		
999	NA	NA				
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statisti	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:			[Missing: */99	99]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid: 10803 /-] [Invalid: 1086 /-]				

# f41: Q.41 Political bloc with best politics: Employment							
Literal question Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on employment?							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	The red-gre	The red-green Left/Soc/Green		38.7%			
2	The alliance	The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod		51.3%			
3	No opinion	1	1072	10.0%			

# f41: Q.41 Political bloc with best politics: Employment								
Value	alue Label Cases Percentage							
999	NA		1175					
Warning: these figu	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]				999]				
Statistics [NW	/ W]	[Valid: 10714 /-] [Invalid: 1175 /-]						

Literal question		Which political bloc do you think has the	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on environment?				
Value Label		Cases		Percentage			
1	The red-gr	een Left/Soc/Green	6058		56.7%		
2	The alliand	ce Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod	3290	30.8%			
3	No opinio	1	1338	12.5%			
999	NA		1203				
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of	the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		ge: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 10686 /-] [Invalid: 1203 /-]							

# f43: Q.43 Political bloc with best politics: Health care							
Literal quest	ion	Which political bloc do you think has the b	est politics on health car	re?			
Value	Label		Cases	s Percentage			
1	The red-gre	een Left/Soc/Green	5421		50.5%		
2	The alliance	e Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod	4140		38.6%		
3	No opinion		1172	10.9%			
999	NA		1156				
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be into	erpreted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang			: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid: 10733 /-] [Invalid: 1156 /-]					

Literal question		Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on social welfare?				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	The red-gr	een Left/Soc/Green	5360		50.2%	
2	The alliand	ee Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod	4222		39.5%	
3	No opinior	1	1096	10.3%		
999	NA		1211			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 10678 /-] [Invalid: 1211 /-]				

#f45: Q.45 Political bloc with best politics: Schools and education		
Literal question	Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on schools and education?	

# f45: Q.45 Political bloc with best politics: Schools and education						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	The red-gre	een Left/Soc/Green	4266	39.9%		
2	The allianc	e Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod	5482	51.2%		
3	No opinion		950	8.9%		
999	NA	NA				
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 10698 /-] [Invalid: 1191 /-]				

Literal question		Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on taxes?					
Value Label		Cases		Percentage			
1	The red-gr	een Left/Soc/Green	3971		37.1%		
2	The alliance	e Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod	5743			53.6%	
3	No opinior	No opinion		9.3%			
999	NA	NA					
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistic	cs of the population of intere	est.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 10712 /-] [Invalid: 1177 /-]					

Literal question		Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on pensions?				
Value Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	The red-gr	een Left/Soc/Green	4456	41.5%		
2	The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod		3941	36.7%		
3	No opinion		2341	21.8%		
999	NA		1151			
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 10738 /-] [Invalid: 1151 /-]				